Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/717,573	WU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LAURA M. MITCHELL	1636
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>LAURA M. MITCHELL</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Fei-Fei Chao</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 February 2008	Time: <u>9:00AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Applicants gave approval for Examiner's amendment of the abstract and claims 32-33 provided there was sufficient support in the specification for the word "chimeric". The phrase "chimeric construct" can be found in paragraph 0167 of the publication of the Application.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A <sub>j</sub>	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)